

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/216,985		12/21/1998	LAURENCE HONARVAR	1330.1010	8897	
21171	7590	01/03/2003				
	& HALSE		EXAMINER			
SUITE 50	•			CUFF, MICHAEL A		
WASHIN	WASHINGTON, DC 20001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3627		
				DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 01/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/216,985** 

Applicant(s)

Honarvar

Examiner

Michael Cuff

Art Unit **3627** 



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the co	over sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXP	IRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, he	pwayer, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
mailing date of this communication.				
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expi</li> </ul>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
<ul> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the applicatio</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this community</li> </ul>				
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	,,,,,,			
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 15, 2002				
2a)   ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is not	on-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quay</i>	•			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 22-37</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)				
6) 💢 Claim(s) 1-11 and 22-37				
7) Claim(s)				
8) Claims				
Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
	and the Dallack to be the English			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are a) ☐ a				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Of	ffice action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been r	eceived in Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).			
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified				
14) X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional applica				
15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)				
	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  6) Other:				
of Museumanou pregnante organismical in 10, 1449) Label Mo(s).	ю.			

Application/Control Number: 09/216,985

Art Unit: 3627

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment C, supplemental IDS and formal drawings, all filed 10/15/02, have been received and entered. Claims 38-47 have been canceled. Claims 1, 23, 26, 28 and 29 have been amended. Applicant's amendment has resolved the 35 USC 112, 2nd issue of previous record. The drawing are accepted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 3. Claims 1-11 and 22-37, as best understood by the examiner, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Walker et al. (6,088,686)

Walker et al. Shows, figures 1A and 1B, the system and method of the present invention provide on-line processing of applications in real time (single pass, one time data input, means for evaluating), thus providing conditional approvals, pending required verifications. The system has a front-end processing system (blocks 14 and 16) that provides an immediate review of the results

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/216,985

Art Unit: 3627

of analyzing an applicant's credit bureau history (blocks 28, 30, 32 and 34) (account data, 30, 32, 34 provide virtual attributes) and automated credit scoring. The system and method of the present invention involves the unique processing of a new or existing customer relationship (blocks 18, 20 and 24, virtual attributes) (customer data) into the credit decision request. Via online real-time integration of the many systems (block 52) involved in the process, all of the existing customer's accounts (each of customer's accounts, some can be of the same type) are systematically and automatically reviewed (all customer and account data loaded without additional data) during the application session to determine the aggregate balance amount, which gives rise to the best price being offered to the existing customer 10 (evaluating customer) for the requested credit product. This feature enables the ability to provide new or existing customers (block 10) with an up-front conditional approval based on systematic evaluation of credit bureau history, credit score (virtual attribute), debt burden (virtual attribute), credit policies and the customer's relationship (virtual attribute) with the financial institution, (separate extracts, different data sources, plurality of extracts) subject to required verifications.

The Maximum Debt Burden Offer provides applicants requesting credit (revolving or closed-end) with the maximum allowable line of credit or loan amount, whose estimated payment for the requested product, in addition to all known debt payments (applicant provided debt, including rent or mortgage payments, and credit bureau derived payments) (different accounts with different strategies), would not exceed the product specified parameters (line assignment tables) up to the designated controlling debt burden table parameter.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/216,985

Art Unit: 3627

Any label for a term is a virtual attribute. For example, credit limit less the balance is equal to the available credit. In this example, the terms "credit limit", "balance" and "available credit" are all virtual terms because the are all attributes with no explicit data value. (See applicant's definition on page 18, lines 11-13 of the specification.) These attributes do represent a series of non-virtual attributes which have explicit values. The examiner has indicated many "virtual attributes" through out the sighted reference. The "non-virtual attributes" are inherent as the collection of attributes which make up a "virtual attribute".

A series of tables in the application processing system (ACAPS 26) contains the price points for each product that has multiple price points (iterative function, iterative matrix). The tables also provide the name of the characteristic (such as balance amount, virtual attribute), the break point(s)(virtual attribute) (such as less than \$1500, greater than or equal to \$1500, etc.), and the resulting price(s)(virtual attribute). Other table values within ACAPS 26 determine whether the automated pricing routines should be used or not used (first iterative decision tree, iterative for each new account requested by customer). Assuming the routines are used, ACAPS 26 calls (first iterative function calls second) upon another bank system (block 52), which aggregates all of the customer's balances (second iterative matrix function, iterating through a number of accounts) to obtain the aggregated balance amount to be used in conjunction with the pricing tables to determine the price to be offered to the applicant 10.

Application/Control Number: 09/216,985 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has made use of the examiner's suggestion of wording to overcome the 35 USC 112, 2nd rejection. However, applicant left out the "one time" to give order to when the loading is being done or how it is accumulated. As broadly recited, the Walker et al. reference still reads on the claims. The examiner has cited three references which teach that collection of data prior to processing is beneficial in order to save time during processing because there is no need to access remote information.

Applicant asserts that the Walker et al. reference does not show the "same strategy" for same products and "different strategy" for different products. The second paragraph of the examiner's rejection shows all debt payments. This debt payment could include 3 credit card payments. Each credit card account would be handled with the same strategy. This debt payment could also include mortgage payments. The mortgage accounts are handled with a different strategy than the credit card accounts.

Applicant asserts that the Walker et al. reference does not show the an iterative function.

The fourth paragraph of the examiner's rejection shows a series of look-up tables which are iteratively used in the process.

Application/Control Number: 09/216,985 Page 6

Art Unit: 3627

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Scales, Hoover et al. and Smith et al. show data collection systems of interest.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Michael Cuff at telephone number (703) 308-0610.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113. The fax

Application/Control Number: 09/216,985

Page 7

Art Unit: 3627

phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9326. (After Final special fax number (703) 872-9327)

The customer service number is (703) 872-9325.

Michael liff 12/27/02 Michael Cuff

December 27, 2002